ORIGINAL ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM

9

7

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

21

20

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

80204912.1

- Miss World admits and alleges that it is a foreign company organized 2. under the laws of Jersey, Channel Islands.
- 3. Miss World admits that the Court has personal and subject matter jurisdiction in this case.
 - Miss World admits that venue is proper in this Court. 4.
- Miss World is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a 5. belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim, and on that basis denies the allegations.
- Miss World admits that, according to public records, Defendant filed 6. cited in Paragraph 6 of the the applications for the goods and services Counterclaim.
- Miss World denies that MISS CYBERWORLD is a currently 7. registered mark. Miss World has filed suit to cancel the MS. LATINA USA WORLD mark, collect damages, and enjoin use of the mark and related marks. Miss World admits that public records indicate that the other marks cited in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim appear to be currently registered. Miss World denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim.
- 8. Miss World admits that the Ninth Circuit decided the case cited in Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim. Miss World denies Defendant's characterization of the case, and Miss World denies the remaining allegations in Paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim.
 - Miss World denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim. 9.
- Miss World admits the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the 10. Counterclaim.
- Miss World incorporates by reference all of the responses set forth 11. above.
- Miss World admits that there is a dispute between Miss World and 12. Defendant. Miss World denies that declaratory judgment is proper procedurally or

substantively in this case, and Miss World denies the remaining allegations in 1 2 Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim. Miss World denies that Defendant is entitled to any of the relief 3 13. requested in the section of the Counterclaim titled "Prayer for Relief." 4 5 To the extent that an averment is not specifically admitted above, all 14. 6 such averments are hereby denied. 7 PRAYER FOR RELIEF WHEREFORE, Miss World prays that the Counterclaim be denied in its 8 9 entirety, that Defendant take nothing, that Miss World recover all allowed costs and fees, and that Miss World have all other and further relief to which it is entitled at 10 11 law or in equity. 12 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. Dated: June 5, 2008 13 14 15 By: /s/ Sarah Silbert 16 Sarah Silbert (SBN 198594) 17 Richard J. Groos (TX Bar No. 08529100) pro hac vice motion to be filed 18 C. Ashley Callahan (TX Bar No. 24027545) pro hac vice motion to be filed 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff 20 MISS WORLD LIMITED 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

- 3 ORIGINAL ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM

80204912.1

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 555 South Flower Street, Forty-First Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On June 5, 2008, I placed with this firm at the above address for deposit with the United States Postal Service a true and correct copy of the within document(s):

ORIGINAL ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM

in a sealed envelope, postage fully paid, addressed as follows:

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Christopher W. Arledge TURNER GREEN AFRASIABI & ARLEDGE LLP 535 Anton Boulevard, Suite 850 Costa Mesa, California 92626 Attorneys for Defendants Phoenix Pyre Productions & Effie Horning

16

17

18

15

Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date.

20

19

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

22

21

Executed on June 5, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

23

24

25

27

26

28

80204912.1